Batch phenol biodegradation study and application of factorial experimental design
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Abstract

A bacterium, Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATTC27853), was investigated for its ability to grow and to degrade phenol as sole carbon source, in aerobic batch culture. The parameters which affect the substrate biodegradation such as the adaptation of bacteria to phenol, the temperature, and the nature of the bacteria were investigated. The results show that for a range of temperature of 30 to 40°C, the best degradation of phenol for a concentration of 100mg/l was observed at 30°C. The regeneration of the bacterium which allows the reactivation of its enzymatic activity, shows that the degradation of 100 mg/l of substrate at 30°C required approximately 50 hours with revivified bacteria, while it only starts after 72 hours for those no revivified. Adapted to increasing concentrations, allows the bacteria to degrade a substrate concentration of about 400mg/l in less than 350 hours.

A second part was consisted in the determination of a substrate degradation model using the factorial experiment design, as a function of temperature (30-40°C) and of the size of the inoculums (260.88 - 521.76mg/l). The results were analyzed statistically using the Student’s t-test, analysis of variance, and F-test. The value of R² (0.99872) and adjusted R² (0.9962) close to 1.0, verifies the good correlation between the observed and the predicted values, and provides the excellent relationship between the independent variables (factors) and the response (the time of the phenol degradation). F-value found above 200, indicates that the considered model is statistically significant.
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1. Introduction

Phenol and phenolic compounds are of general use in many industries such as polymeric resin production and oil refining. As a result, these compounds are commonly encountered in industrial effluents and surface water.

The low volatility of phenol and its affinity for water make oral consumption of contaminated water the greatest risk to humans [1].

To treat phenolic compounds, biological methods are preferable because this is economical, and there is a low possibility of the production of by-products. Many papers support the biological treatment of waste or ground water. The microorganisms used are usually aerobes which transform organic compounds to inorganic compounds (CO₂, H₂O) [1].

The objective of the present paper was to study the biodegradation process, considering simultaneous variations of the factors that could affect to it, and to build a mathematical model of the time of phenol degradation as a function of variables involved to find the experimental conditions in which the biodegradation process was efficient. For finding efficient conditions in experimentation we used the factorial experimental design.

The factorial experimental design methodology involves changing all variables from one experiment to the next. The reason for this is that variables can influence each other, and the ideal value for one of them can depend on the values of the others. This interaction between variables is a frequent phenomenon.

Many studies relating to biodegradation process have indicated the effect of the temperature, the pH, the concentration of the effluent, the agitation, the aeration, and the size of bacteria on the treatment efficiency. However, few employ the experimental design method for evaluating the influence of the operation variables on these processes.

Usama et al. [2] studied the effect of inoculum size on phenol biodegradation using Acinetobacter sp., the authors concluded that increasing of the inoculum increased the rate of phenol biodegradation.

Si-Jing and Kai-Chee [3] studied the kinetics of phenol biodegradation by Pseudomonas putida, the relationship between cell growth rate and substrate consumption was established under several conditions, the authors proved that phenol concentration was...
an important factor on its biodegradation.

Agarry et al. [4] studied the effect of the temperature, the aeration, and the agitation on the degradation of phenol by Pseudomonas aeruginosa using response surface methodology, the optimum process conditions for maximizing phenol degradation was given at temperature 30.1°C, aeration 3 vvm, and agitation 301 rpm.

Tao et al. [5] used the Box-Behnken center-united experimental design to determine the effects of glucose concentration, initial pH, and culture temperature on omethoate degradation by Aspergillus niger, the optimum conditions were: 1.9% (w/v) glucose, initial pH 6.21, and culture temperature 32.64°C.

2. Experimental

2.1 Medium

The mineral medium composed of the following components (g/l) [6]: KH₂PO₄, 0.5; K₂HPO₄, 1.5; NaCl, 0.5; Mg SO₄. 7H₂O, 0.5; NH₄NO₃, 1; FeSO₄. 7H₂O, 0.01; CaCl₂. 2H₂O, 0.01; NH₄SO₄, 0.5.

All media (except phenol) was autoclaved at 120°C for 20 min for sterilization before using. Phenol was added to the medium with desired initial concentration.

After inoculation; by adding 10ml of Inoculum into 1l of medium, the flasks were capped with cotton plugs and placed in a shaker under aerobic conditions.

2.2 Analytical methods

Cell density was monitored spectrophotometrically by measuring the absorbance at a wavelength of 600 nm by using a Shimadzu ultraviolet spectrophotometer. The OD value was then converted to dry cell mass using a dry weight calibration curve.

The dry cell mass density (mg/l) was found to follow the regression equation: X(mg/l) = 434.8 (OD₆₀₀).

Phenol was analysed by photometric method using 4-aminooantipyrine as the colouring agent and measuring the absorbance at 510 nm with a Shimadzu ultraviolet spectrophotometer [7].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Effect of the temperature on phenol degradation

The effect of the temperature on the degradation of phenol was studied by the comparison of the results at 30°C and 40°C under the same operational conditions.

As shown on Figure 1, it is interesting to note that the bacterial growth is better at 40°C, which is estimated at 112 mg/l after 96 hours, whereas at the temperature 30°C, the bacterial mass was 70 mg/l after 97 hours. This result agrees with the theoretical data concerning the activity of Pseudomonas, which indicate an optimal temperature of growth between 30°C and 43°C.

It is also found that the best degradation of phenol was observed at 30°C with a total degradation of phenol in 100 hours. At 40°C the time of the degradation was prolonged to 160 hours.

We can conclude that the 30°C temperature supports best the biological degradation of phenol by Pseudomonas aeruginosa.

3.2 Effect of nature of the bacteria on phenol degradation

To envisage the influence of the nature of the bacteria (revivified and no revivified) on the degradation of phenol, we carried out two experiments: the first with revivified bacteria (conserve during one week) and the second with no revivified bacteria (conserve during 6 months). Under the same operating conditions, and the same mineral media, the inoculum was added with a dry cell mass of X= 391.32mg/l. The bacterial growth and the degradation of phenol for the two tests are presented on the Figure 2.

The first graphic shows a good bacterial growth (100mg/l after 48 hours) and a very fast degradation of phenol, the total degradation was observed at the end of the 49 hours. While for the no revivified bacteria, the cell multiplication was slowed down (70mg/l after 100 hours); the degradation of phenol is started only after 72 hours.

From these results we can conclude that the regeneration of the bacterium allows its reactivation and the reproduction of its enzymatic activity. All these advantages of this reactive bacterial strain, has beloved their growth in the culture medium with a very great activity and a fast degradation of the phenol [8].

3.3 Effect of adaptation of the bacteria on phenol degradation

In the culture medium, the initial phenol concentration is 400mg/l after 48 hours) and a very fast degradation of phenol, the total degradation was observed at the end of the 49 hours. While for the no revivified bacteria, the cell multiplication was slowed down (70mg/l after 100 hours); the degradation of phenol is started only after 72 hours.

From these results we can conclude that the regeneration of the bacterium allows its reactivation and the reproduction of its enzymatic activity. All these advantages of this reactive bacterial strain, has beloved their growth in the culture medium with a very great activity and a fast degradation of the phenol [8].

The first graphic shows a good bacterial growth (100mg/l after 48 hours) and a very fast degradation of phenol, the total degradation was observed at the end of the 49 hours. While for the no revivified bacteria, the cell multiplication was slowed down (70mg/l after 100 hours); the degradation of phenol is started only after 72 hours.

From these results we can conclude that the regeneration of the bacterium allows its reactivation and the reproduction of its enzymatic activity. All these advantages of this reactive bacterial strain, has beloved their growth in the culture medium with a very great activity and a fast degradation of the phenol [8].

In the culture medium, the initial phenol concentration is 400mg/l and the inoculums was added with a dry cell mass 281,75mg/l, keeping the same previously operating conditions, the results obtained for the bacterial growth and phenol degradation are presented on Figure 3a.
According to the results (Figure 3a) we have noticed a very low bacterial growth that is due to the inhibiting effect of phenol at high concentrations. The presence of an excess of the phenol (the substrate) develops a saturation of the enzymatic sites charged by this last, which implies a reduction in its degradation.

In this case the culture is not acclimated to the increasingly high levels of phenol experienced during the initial period of the shock loading and, consequently, we have obtained a partial degradation of phenol until a residual concentration of 300mg/l and a decrease of the bacterial mass which corresponds to cellular lysis.

To test this point, the batch experiment was repeated with cells extracted directly from the effluent of a reactor operating in the same conditions with a low concentration of phenol (100mg/l).

We have added to the medium 10ml of a medium, rich of bacteria after a degradation of 100mg/l as explained before. The evolution of the concentration of phenol and the bacterial growth are presented on the (Figure 3b)

It is clear that the results are significantly different from those obtained with inoculums not acclimated to high levels of phenol.

From this result we can conclude that the adaptation of the bacteria to phenol is necessary for the survival of the biodegradation of the substrate in a medium with higher concentration of phenol. The enzymatic adaptation is defined like “the induced formation of an enzyme under the influence of its substrate” [9].

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* adapted to phenol continued to develop and degrade phenol, and on the figure we observe a good growth of the bacterial mass which reaches 380mg/l and a total degradation of phenol at the end of 170 hours.

3.4 Factorial design methodology

A factorial design $2^2$ method [10] was used to study the degradation of phenol from water. All the batch experiments are conducted with initial phenol concentration of 100 mg/l and 0.24 l/mn of aeration. The temperature and the bacteria size employed are shown in Table 1.

A matrix was established according to their high and low levels, represented by +1 and -1, respectively as given in Table 2.

The result of each experiment is presented on the Figure 4; and the time of the degradation of phenol at each experiment is presented in Table 3.

![Figure 3. Effect of nature of bacteria on the bacterial growth and phenol biodegradation agitation= 78rpm, Aeration = 0.24 l/mn, T = 30°C](image)

![Figure 4. Evolution of bacterial growth and phenol concentration for the experimental design’s experiments](image)

### Table 2. Coded of the experimental design for the two variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment number</th>
<th>$X_1$</th>
<th>$X_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The codified mathematical model employed for $2^2$ factorial design without interaction was represented as follows:

\[
Y = a_0 + a_1X_1 + a_2X_2
\]  

Where $Y$ is the estimated response, $a_0$ represents the global mean, and $ai$ ($i = 1, 2$) are the linear effects, $Xi$ ($i = 1, 2$) are the process variables.

Substituting the coefficients $ai$ in the equation by their values the mathematical model selected will be the following:

### Table 1. High and low levels of factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Low level (-1)</th>
<th>High level (+1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature ($°C$) ($X_1$)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The bacteria size (mg/l) ($X_2$)</td>
<td>260.88</td>
<td>521.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\( Y = 5.625 + 0.875X_1 - 3.375X_2 \)  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The level of validity of the model is:

\[ 30^\circ C < \text{Temperature} < 40^\circ C \]
\[ 260.88 \text{mg/l} < \text{Bacteria size} < 521.76 \text{mg/l} \]

**Analyzes regression:**

The examination of the graphs of the regressions accompanying the model is based on the localization of each point experimental with its estimated value as indicated in the Table 4 and as presented in Figure 5.

**Table 4.** Experimental and estimated response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Y (experimental)</th>
<th>( Y ) (estimated by the model)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The graphs show that the model suggested strongly approaches the studied phenomenon.

**Analysis of variance (ANOVA)** [111]

Regression analysis and ANOVA were used for examining the statistical significance of the model terms.

\( F \)-value is a statistically valid measure of how well the factors describe the variation of the data about the mean.

Student t-test was employed to determine whether calculated effects were significantly different from zero.

The \( R^2 \) coefficient gives the proportion of the total variation in the response predicted by the model. A high \( R^2 \) value, close to 1, is desirable and a reasonable agreement with adjusted \( R^2 \) is necessary [12]. A high \( R^2 \) coefficient ensures a satisfactory adjustment of the model to the experimental data.

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the regression model and the determination of the \( R^2 \) coefficient demonstrates that the model is highly significant (Table 5).

**Table 5.** Regression analysis (ANOVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>F-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>48.625</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.312</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual (error)</td>
<td>0.0625</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation total</td>
<td>48.6875</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( R^2 = 0.9987 \) \hspace{1cm} \( R^2 \) adjusted = 0.9962

The computed \( F \)-value was higher than the tabular value (\( F_{0.95,2,1} = 200 \)), it means that the linear effects \( (X_1 \) and \( X_2) \) were significant.

The goodness of the modeling was evaluated by the determination of the coefficient \( (R^2) \) and the adjusted determination coefficient \( R^2_{adj} \). In this case, \( R^2 = 0.99872 \) indicates that 99.87% of the variability in the response could be explained by the model. In addition, the value of \( R^2_{adj} \) was very high, indicating a high level of significance for the model. Moreover \( R^2_{adj} = 0.9962 \), which is very close to the \( R^2 \) value of 0.9987, indicating that the model was accurate in describing the experimental data.

The Student’s t-test employed is based on a simple hypothesis:

If \( t_i > t_c \), the coefficient is significant, if not it is rejected from model.

\[ t_i = \left| \frac{a_i}{s_i} \right| \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

\( t_i \): t-value calculated
\( t_c \): critical or tabular coefficient (t-tabular), is determined from the Student table with \( (n-p) \) freedom degrees and a 95% confidence level.
\( n \): the number of experiments carried out, in our case \( n=4 \)
\( p \): the number of coefficients of the model, for our study \( p=3 \) (\( a_0, a_1, a_2 \))

The variance was calculated by using the following equations:

\[ s_i^2 = \frac{s^2}{n} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[ s^2 = \frac{1}{n-p} \cdot \sum e_i^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)
It was observed that for a 95% confidence level and one freedom degrees, the t-tabular was equal to 6.314.

From the Table 6, the condition $t_i > t_c$ is checked; therefore the linear effect of the temperature and the bacteria size are significant.

**Table 6.** The corresponding t-value for the three coefficients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>t-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a_0$</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_2$</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusion

On the basis of the data, it is concluded that the temperature, the nature of bacteria, and the adaptation of bacteria to phenol can influenced directly on the bacterial growth and the degradation of phenol.

The factorial experimental design is a good technique for studying the influence of process parameters on response factors by significantly reducing the number of experiments.

First-order regression was developed for predicting the response, the experimental value agreed with the predicted value within a 95% confidence interval, suggesting a good fit between the models and the experimental data.
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