Multiphase Flow Regularity of Wellbore in Acid Natural Gas-invading Period
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Abstract
Currently, unbalanced drilling technique is widely applied in the exploration and development process of acid oil and gas reservoir. However, the acid natural gas that invades under high temperature and high-pressure conditions can easily result in underground failures, such as well kick and blowout. Thus, a calculation model for the multiphase flow of a wellbore that is invaded by acid natural gas was established in this study based on the principle and method of gas-liquid two-phase flow to reveal the multiphase flow regularity inside a wellbore after the invasion of acid natural gas, considering the phase change and solubility of acid gas under high temperature and high pressure. Meanwhile, a solution for the model was provided. Finally, in the case of the H75-29-4 gas well conditions in Jilin Oilfield, China, the change rule of physical properties and the change features of parameters, such as mud pit increment and pressure drop in the bottom hole after acid natural gas (methane and hydrogen sulfide) penetrated the wellbore, were obtained through an analog calculation. Results indicate that the H₂S solubility inside the wellbore is greater than the CH₄ solubility. Moreover, H₂S is 135 times more soluble than CH₄ in the bottom hole. The density of acid natural gas mutates near the wellhead. High H₂S content implies that the mutation location is near the wellhead. The density mutation location of pure H₂S mutates 400 m away from the wellhead, whereas the density of a 50% H₂S mutates 900 m away from the wellhead. Within the same overflow period, a high H₂S content implies a small pressure drop value in the bottom hole, mud pit increment, and shut-in casing pressure, and small volume fractions of gaseous phases at the wellhead and in the center of the wellbore. Backpressure and the gas-invaded quantity at the wellhead have great influences on pressure in the bottom hole, that is, a great backpressure at the wellhead implies a low pressure drop in the bottom hole. Moreover, less gas-invaded flow quantity implies a small pressure drop in the bottom hole. This study provides a theoretical basis for wellbore pressure control and well-killing construction under complicated conditions.
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1. Introduction
Unbalanced drilling (UBD) is a drilling technique in which formation fluid controllably enters a wellbore and circulates in the ground when the bottom hole pressure is lower than the formation pressure during the drilling process. UBD has become popular in the development of drilling techniques following horizontal well technique because of its technical advantages, such as high penetration rate, effective control of leakage zone, real-time finding of abnormal geological conditions, timely evaluation of low-pressure and low-permeability hydrocarbon reservoir, and protection of hydrocarbon reservoir. Moreover, UBD has its own advantages in developing acid oil and gas field with extensive application prospects in China [1].

Unbalanced drilling technique results in oil and gas yield increase. However, the thermodynamic and hydrodynamic balances of acid natural gas that enter the wellbore because of unbalanced conditions can change under high-temperature and high-pressure environments. Consequently, the gas dissolves in the drilling fluid is released, and phase change between gas and liquid occurs because natural gas can be condensed into oil. Phase change can change the proportions and properties of liquid and gas in the well, thereby changing the flow pattern of the circulating fluid. Therefore, acid gas invasion can complicate the multiphase flow in the wellbore, thus influencing well control significantly.

On this basis, researchers have conducted numerous studies on multiphase flow regularity in the wellbore after natural gas invasion [2-6]. However, an accurate analysis of the multiphase flow regularity in the wellbore after the invasion of acid natural gas under high-temperature and high-pressure conditions and the definition of the main factors that influence multiphase flow during unbalanced drilling process are required. This is because the assumptions in these studies are too simple and do not consider the influences of factors, such as the subsistent solubility and gas-liquid phase change of acid gas on multiphase flow inside the wellbore under high-temperature and high-pressure environments, and the existence of great deviations when these studies are applied to unbalanced drilling under high-temperature and high-pressure environments, which can influence the construction safety of unbalanced drilling and result in serious consequences and heavy economic losses.
For acid natural gas reservoir features and in consideration of the influences of the dissolution and gas-liquid phase change of natural gas, a multiphase flow calculation model was established in this study for the wellbore after acid natural gas invasion under high-temperature and high-pressure conditions. Moreover, factors, such as gaseous phases volume fraction, solubility, and bottom hole pressure, were analyzed to predict the multiphase flow regularity inside the wellbore accurately after the invasion of acid natural gas to provide reference for the development and optimization of acid gas reservoirs.

2. State of the art

Researchers have conducted numerous studies on gas-liquid two-phase flow after gas invasion [7-14]. Sheldon et al. [15] set up the first simple gas invaded mathematical model that belongs to the current-sharing model. However, the flow friction resistance of the drilling fluid in annuli and the slippage of gas-invaded gas in the drilling fluid are neglected. Auton [16] improved the model of Sheldon [15] and proposed a new well kick flow model that considers the flow friction resistance of the drilling fluid in annuli. This model provides high calculation accuracy but the calculation method of flow inside the drill pipe is not provided. Horberock et al. [17-18] imported a momentum equation to calculate the annular pressure. However, this model ignores the change of annular sectional area during the flow process and simplifies the two-phase flow into a single-phase flow. Nickens [19] established a gas-liquid two-phase continuity equation and a mixing momentum equation and presented a mathematical method that uses fixed grids in the numerical solution by analyzing the changes of mass and mixing momentum during the gas-liquid two-phase flow process. However, this model uses static temperature field and does not consider the dissolution effect of gas in the drilling fluid. Jun Fan et al. [20] built a gas-liquid two-phase flow model based on the multiphase transient flow theory inside the wellbore and simulated the change of bottom hole pressure with time and multiphase flow parameters of the wellbore with well depth at different gas injection rates. Moreover, this model has poor applicability in deepwater and ultra-deepwater vertical wellbores. Shi et al. [21] established a gas-liquid and an oil-water two-phase drift flow models, considering interphase slippage. The model prediction results are consistent with the experimental results. Moreover, the prediction error of the void ratio was within ±20%, but the results were not compared. Hagedorn and Brown [22] conducted an indoor experiment on a two-phase flow in round pipes. The diameters of the round pipes used in the experiment were 25, 32, and 38 mm. The pressure drop gradients of the two-phase flow were tested under different liquid and gas injection volumes. The calculation results in this empirical formula were always smaller than the field measurement results.

The studies above focused on the two-phase flow of conventional single-phase drilling fluid in deep wells. Studies on the multi-phase flow of a wellbore under high-temperature and high-pressure status, as well as studies on the dissolution properties and phase-state change of acid gas, were few. The multiphase mathematical model of the well during acid gas-invasion period were established in this study that contained the gas-liquid two-phase continuity equation and gas-liquid two-phase mixing motion equation which based on the principles of mass and momentum conservation and based on the influences of gas solubility and phase change. Meanwhile, the calculation model of gas solubility, the flash evaporation and classical pressure drop model of the two-phase pipe flow were established. The change rules of the parameters, such as gas solubility, annular gas phase volume fraction, mud pit increment, and bottom hole pressure drop were obtained through an analog calculation during acid gas-invasion period. The influencing factors of the multiphase flow regularity of a wellbore during acid gas-invasion period were analyzed to provide a theoretical basis for the design optimization of well control parameters of follow-up deep unbalanced drilling.

The rest of this study is organized as follows. Section 3 establishes the wellbore multiphase calculation model during the acid natural gas-invasion period and provides a solution of finite difference method. Section 4 simulates the change features of gas-liquid two-phase flow parameters in the wellbore during the acid gas-invasion process and analyzes the influencing factors of the multiphase flow regularity of a wellbore during the gas-invasion period. Section 5 summarizes the whole study and draws relevant conclusions.

3. Methodology

3.1 Multiphase flow equation of a wellbore

3.1.1 Fluid continuity equation of a wellbore during the acid natural gas-invasion period

The generation of formation gas and the dissolution of gas in the drilling fluid should be considered in the continuity equation during the gas-invasion period in unbalanced drilling. Thus, this continuity equation is relatively complicated. The derivation with gaseous pay zone segment can be taken as an example.

The free phase of acid gas can be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \rho_f H_f A \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho_f u_f H_f A \right) = q_f - q_s + s_s$$

(1)

The dissolution phase of invading acid gas can be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \rho_d H_d A \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho_d u_d H_d A \right) = q_d - q_s + s_s$$

(2)

The drilling fluid phase can be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \rho_m H_m A \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \rho_m u_m H_m A \right) = 0$$

(3)

where $z$ is wellbore length, $m$ is the time of the fluid invading wellbore, $A$ is annular sectional area, $m^3$. $H_f$ is volume fraction of the invading free phase of the fluid. $H_d$ is volume fraction of the invading dissolution phase of the fluid. $H_m$ is volume fraction of the drilling phase of the fluid. $u_f$ is velocity of the invading free phase of the fluid, $m/s$. $u_d$ is velocity of the invading dissolution phase of the fluid, $m/s$. $\rho_f$ is density of the invading free phase of the fluid, kg/m$^3$. $\rho_d$ is density of the invading dissolution phase of the fluid, kg/m$^3$. $\rho_m$ is density of the invading dissolution phase of the fluid, kg/m$^3$. $s_s$ is density of the invading dissolution phase of the fluid, kg/m$^3$. $q_f$ is dissolution rate of acid gas in the drilling fluid, m$^3$/s. $q_d$ is dissolution rate of acid gas in the drilling fluid, m$^3$/s. $q_s$ is dissolution rate of acid gas in the drilling fluid, m$^3$/s. $s_s$ is dissolution rate of acid gas in the drilling fluid, m$^3$/s. $\rho_f$ is density of the invading dissolution phase of the fluid, kg/m$^3$. $\rho_d$ is density of the invading dissolution phase of the fluid, kg/m$^3$. $\rho_m$ is density of the invading dissolution phase of the fluid, kg/m$^3$. $\rho_m$ is density of the invading dissolution phase of the fluid, kg/m$^3$.
the fluid, \( \rho_s / m^3 \cdot \rho_w \) is density of the drilling phase of the fluid, \( \rho_s / m^3 \cdot q_s \) is total mass flow of the invading fluid, \( \rho_s / m \cdot q_s \) is mass flow dissolved in acid natural gas in the water-based drilling fluid, \( \rho_s / m \cdot x_s \) is mass flow of acid natural gas precipitated out from the water-based drilling fluid, \( \rho_s / m^3 \).

3.1.2 Equation set of material equilibrium
Equation set of material equilibrium describes the fugacity, and equilibrium constant with temperature and pressure, of three parts of the structural system of the gas-liquid twophase medium. The phase equilibrium operation is needed for the judgment of the fluid phase state. Fluid phase equilibrium model consists of three parts, including the equation set of the material equilibrium conditions that describes the relationships of the gas-liquid phase composition, material mass (mole number), and equilibrium constant with temperature and pressure, the equation set of thermodynamic equilibrium conditions that describes the relationships of the gas-liquid phase composition, material mass, and equilibrium constant with fugacity, and the structural system of the state equation used for phase equilibrium calculation.

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho_s v_s H_s A + \rho_w v_w H_w A + \rho_v v_v H_v A) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho_s v_s H_s A + \rho_w v_w H_w A + \rho_v v_v H_v A)
\]

where \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration, \( m / s^2 \). \( P \) is the pressure, \( Pa \), and \( f \) is the frictional pressure drop along the flow direction, \( Pa \).

3.1.3 Discrimination of the two-phase flow pattern in the annuli and pressure drop calculation
The pressure drop gradient calculation of the two-phase flow pattern may refer to the models of Hasan and Kabir [3-5]. Hasan and Kabir divided the flow pattern of the gas-liquid phase into four types, namely, bubble flow, slug flow, stirring flow, and annular flow according to the distribution shape of the gas-liquid two-phase medium. Chen proposed an explicit calculation mode of friction coefficient, which was used for Fanning friction coefficients of bubble flow, slug flow, and stirring flow models of the annular gas-liquid mixture, in 1979. The calculation mode of this friction coefficient is applicable to all Reynolds numbers and roughness.

3.2 Calculation model of gas-liquid two-phase equilibrium
Phase equilibrium operation is needed for the judgment of the fluid phase state. Fluid phase equilibrium model consists of three parts, including the equation set of the material equilibrium conditions that describes the relationships of the gas-liquid phase composition, material mass (mole number), and equilibrium constant with temperature and pressure, the equation set of thermodynamic equilibrium conditions that describes the relationships of the gas-liquid phase composition, material mass, and equilibrium constant with fugacity, and the structural system of the state equation used for phase equilibrium calculation.

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i = 1
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1
\]

3.2.1 Equation set of material equilibrium
Oil and gas hydrocarbon system consists of \( n \) components, its total material mass is 1 mol, and a normalized condition of the mass number is achieved when the system is under the gas-liquid two-phase equilibrium:

\[
V + L = 1
\]

The balancing components in the gas and liquid phases achieve a material equilibrium relationship:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1
\]

Compositions, such as \( y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \) and \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \), should achieve normalized conditions:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i = 1
\]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1
\]

The distribution ratio of any component in a balanced gas-liquid phase can be described by the equilibrium constant \( K_i \), which is equal to the ratio of the mole fraction \( y_i \) of this component in the gas phase and to the mole fraction \( x_i \) in the liquid phase under the phase equilibrium condition, that is

\[
K_i = \frac{y_i}{x_i}
\]

Where \( L \) is the total number of mole in the liquid phase, mol. \( V \) is total number of mole in the gas phase, mol. \( x_i \) is the molar composition of component \( i \) in the liquid phase, \( y_i \) is the molar composition of component \( i \) in the gas phase, \( K_i \) is the equilibrium constant.

3.2.2 Equation set of the thermodynamic equilibrium
Phase equilibrium calculation cannot be completely realized by the establishment of an equation set of material equilibrium. The distribution proportion constant, namely, the equilibrium constant \( K_i \) of each component, should be accurately determined after the gas and liquid phases reach phase equilibrium. According to equilibrium theory of fluid thermodynamics, when the oil and gas hydrocarbon system reaches gas-liquid phase equilibrium, the fugacity \( f_g \) and \( f_l \) of each component in the gas and liquid phases in the system should satisfy

\[
f_g = f_l
\]

The fugacity of the gas and liquid phases in the system is

\[
f_g = y_i q_{ig} \rho
\]

and

\[
f_l = x_i q_{il} \rho
\]

The equilibrium coefficient \( K \) is

\[
K_i = \frac{y_i}{x_i} \frac{f_g}{f_l}
\]

Where \( q_{ig} \) and \( q_{il} \) are the fugacity coefficients of components in a balanced gas-liquid phase. \( f_g \) and \( f_l \) are
the fugacity of each component in the gas and liquid phases, Pa.

3.2.3 State equation of gas
State equation of gas is used as an acid natural gas calculation model involved in this study. Strict integral equations to solve \( \phi_{g} \) and \( \phi_{l} \) using the thermodynamic theory of the phase equilibrium can be written as

\[
RT \ln \phi_{g} = \ln \phi_{l} = \int \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \phi_{g}} \right)_{\phi_{g}} dV_g - RT \ln Z_g \quad (14)
\]

\[
RT \ln \phi_{l} = \ln \phi_{g} = \int \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \phi_{l}} \right)_{\phi_{l}} dV_l - RT \ln Z_l \quad (15)
\]

The definition of the combined gas-liquid equilibrium constant and the calculation model of the gas-liquid phase flash evaporation can be derived through the simultaneous solution of the equilibrium relationships.

The equation set of gaseous material equilibrium is as follows:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{z_i K_i}{1 + (K_i - 1)V_g} = 1 \quad (16)
\]

and the equation set of the liquid-phase material equilibrium is

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{z_i}{1 + (K_i - 1)V_g} = 1 \quad (17)
\]

where \( z_i \) is the molar composition of component \( i \) in the hydrocarbon mixing system, \( Z_g \) and \( Z_l \) are deviation factor of liquid-phase and gaseous in the hydrocarbon mixing system, \( p \) is the pressure of the hydrocarbon system, Pa. \( T \) is the temperature of the hydrocarbon system, K. \( R \) is gas constant, J/(mol·K), \( V_i \) and \( V_g \) are the volume of liquid-phase and gaseous in the hydrocarbon mixing system, \( m^i \). \( z_i \) is the mole fraction of component \( i \) in the liquid phase. \( Z_g \) is the mole fraction of mole in the gas phase.

The aforementioned gas-liquid phase equilibrium equations are combined with the thermodynamic conditions of the fugacity equilibrium. Then, the calculation of the gas-liquid phase equilibrium can be implemented by using dew point, bubble point, critical point, iso-liquid volume line, critical condensation pressure, or critical condensation temperature.

3.3 Calculation of the physical property parameters of acid natural gas and drilling fluid

3.3.1 Solubility model
The comparatively new model that calculates the solubility of acid gas in water within global and domestic scopes at present is based on the Duan state equation established by nodes, whereas the time domain consists of the entire time quantum from the initial calculation to the calculation completion. Leading edge tracking multiphase flow is adopted for the selection of time grids. Time step is calculated according to the increase of gas velocity and space grid length at this location. The heat of the wellbore Zhenhao Duan [23-25]. This model has high accuracy in calculating the solubility of acid gas in a wellbore during the drilling process, and its deviation does not exceed 6%.

3.3.2 Calculation of critical temperature and critical pressure
The critical temperature and the critical pressure of an acid fluid must be determined considering the phase change of acid fluid. Pseudo-critical parameters are used as critical parameters of natural gas. Kay’s mixing rule is usually adopted and its definition is

\[
P_{pc} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i p_{ci} \quad (18)
\]

and

\[
T_{pc} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i T_{ci} \quad (19)
\]

Where \( P_{pc} \) is the pseudo-critical pressure, \( P_a \), \( T_{pc} \) is the pseudo-critical temperature of the mixture, \( K. P_{l} \), and the critical pressure of component \( i \), Pa, \( T_{ci} \) is the critical temperature of component \( i \), K.

3.3.3 Calculation of compressibility factor
PR equation is selected in this study as a state equation. However, the calculation of compressibility factor in PR equation cannot comply with the calculation requirement in this study, and thus, re-consideration is needed. The following method is used in this study:

\[
Z = L z_i + V z_g \quad (20)
\]

3.3.4 Density calculation
According to the state equation of actual gas, acid natural gas density is obtained:

\[
\rho = \frac{M}{ZRT} \quad (21)
\]

Where \( M \) is the molar mass of the gas, g/mol.

3.3.5 Viscosity calculation model
Temperature and pressure ranges involved in this study belong to high temperature and high pressure. Gas viscosity calculation model called Chung model is commonly used at home and abroad as a viscosity calculation model under high temperature and high pressure. 3.5 Local search operation

3.4 Solution of equation set
The analytical solution of the multiphase flow control equation is difficult to solve. Thus, a discrete nominalization processing of the model should be implemented, and finite difference method is used for solving the solution. The spatial domain consists of the whole drill stem and annular should be transferred to each grid, except for space and time grids, for iterative solution under transient flow. Finite difference method is used to discretize the mathematical model. The solution of the original mathematical model in the definite solution domain is transformed into a discrete solution on grid nodes in the definite solution domain to
solve the solutions of the nodes in the space domain hour by hour and step by step until the whole time domain is covered, and then the solution of the corresponding problem can be obtained. Fig. 1 shows the concrete solution.

![Image of a diagram showing the solving process of a wellbore flow model](image1)

**Fig. 1.** Solving Process of a Wellbore Flow Model

### 4 Results and discussion

The basic data of gas well with high H₂S content in Jilin Oilfield H75-29-9 China were used to simulate the flow characteristics inside a wellbore under high H₂S gas-invasion. Fig. 2 shows the construction site. The designed depth and vertical depth of the well were 4.289 and 3.410km, respectively. The well was drilled to a depth of 4.04968km and a vertical depth of 3.381km. A 244.5-mm casing pipe was delivered 2.479 km below the well. Furthermore, drills with 215.9mm outer diameter were used. The deviation of the well, the density of the drilling fluid, and the H₂S content were 82°, 1.43g/m³, and 151g/cm³, respectively. During the calculation process, the formation pressure coefficient, surface temperature, geothermal gradient, initial drilling velocity, gas-invaded flow velocity, plastic viscosity, and tangential dynamic stress were set to 1.5, 30°C, 3°C/100 m, 0.08m/s, 0.8m³/s, 0.03 Pa·s and 1.5 Pa, respectively.

![Image of the construction site](image2)

**Fig. 2.** Construction on Drilling Site
4.1 Change of \( H_2S \) solubility with well depth

Fig. 3 shows the change curve of gas solubility with well depth. The solubility of \( H_2S \) and \( CH_4 \) increased with the depth of the well. The change of \( CH_4 \) solubility was relatively gentle, and its solubility was almost zero under a wellhead condition and was approximately 0.00105 under the bottom hole condition. The solubility of \( H_2S \) at the wellhead was also almost zero, however, it began to rapidly increase rapidly at approximately 400m away from the wellhead. Finally, its solubility was 135 times more that the solubility of \( CH_4 \) in the bottom hole because as the well depth increased, the temperature and pressure inside the wellbore also increased. When the well depth reached 400m, the temperature reached its critical point, thus, the hydrogen sulfide went through phase change, that is, the hydrogen sulfide transformed from gaseous state into supercritical state in which a supercritical fluid has strong solubility.

![Fig. 3. Change Curve of Gas Solubility](image)

4.2 Change Curves of Gas Densities

Fig. 4 shows the change curves of gas density with well depth under different \( H_2S \) contents. The change amplitude of methane density with well depth was low. However, the change amplitude of acid natural gas was great. The acid natural gases of different contents near the wellhead would experience an abrupt enlargement process. A high \( H_2S \) content results in a closer mutation point to the wellhead. The density of 50% \( H_2S \) gas began to increase rapidly at 0.9 km or higher, and the location, in which 100% \( H_2S \) started to rapidly increase, was 400m away from the wellhead, which might be caused by the phase change of \( H_2S \) during the process of increasing along the wellbore. \( H_2S \) was under a supercritical state in the bottom hole, and its density was approximate to its liquid-phase density. \( H_2S \) was transformed from a supercritical state into gaseous state when it was close to the wellhead, and its density rapidly reduced and its volume rapidly expanded.

![Fig. 4. Change Curves of Gas Densities](image)

4.3 Change of bottom hole pressure during overflow process of gas well with high \( H_2S \) content

Fig. 5 shows the change curves of the bottom hole pressure with overflow time under different \( H_2S \) contents. The bottom hole pressure gradually declined as the overflow time increased. At the initial gas-invaded period, the bottom hole pressure declined slowly with nearly consistent declining rate. When the gas-invaded gas was pure \( CH_4 \), the decline amplitude of the bottom hole pressure with overflow time was the greatest. However, as the \( H_2S \) content in the gas-invaded increased, the decline amplitude of bottom hole pressure became slow because the \( H_2S \) solubility was far stronger than the \( CH_4 \) solubility. Under the same gas-invaded amount, high \( H_2S \) content results in more \( H_2S \) dissolved in the drilling fluid and reduced number of free gases in the drilling fluid. Meanwhile, the density of \( H_2S \) was greater than the density of \( CH_4 \) density. Therefore, high \( H_2S \) content in gas-invaded gas results in slower bottom hole pressure drop.

![Fig. 5. Change Curves of Bottom Hole Pressure with Overflow Time](image)

4.4 Change of Gas Phase Volume Fractions during the increasing process of high \( H_2S \) content gas along the wellbore

Fig. 6 shows the gas phase volume fraction distribution when the gas increased along the wellbore to the center of the wellbore under different \( H_2S \) contents. The volume fraction of the methane was greater than the \( H_2S \) - containing acid gas because when the gas invaded center of the wellbore, the bottom hole pressure was still high, the \( H_2S \) was under a supercritical state, and the solubility and
density of $H_2S$ were great under low invasion velocity. Thus, ordinary natural gas had greater volume fraction than free gas in acid gas.

**Fig. 6.** Gas Phase Volume Fractions in the wellbore when the Gas Rises to Its Middle Position

Fig. 7 shows gas phase volume fraction distribution when ordinary natural gas and acid gas overflew towards the wellhead. When the gas overflow toward the wellhead, the gas phase volume fraction at the wellhead was far greater than that at the bottom hole when it overflow toward the center of the wellbore because when temperature and pressure decreased, the gas volume abruptly expanded, and the volume fraction of free gas at the wellhead rapidly increased.

**Fig. 7.** Gas Phase Volume Fraction in the Wellbore when the Gas Rises to Wellhead

### 4.5 Change of mud pit increment in high $H_2S$ content gas well with overflow time

Fig. 8 shows the change curves of mud pit increment with overflow time under different $H_2S$ contents. As the overflow time increased, the mud pit increment presented an approximately exponential increase. Under the same initial conditions and within the same overflow time, high $H_2S$ content results in smaller mud pit increment. At 90min overflow time, the mud pit increment caused by gas with 50% $H_2S$ content was 9m$^3$ smaller than that caused by 0% $H_2S$ gas because $H_2S$ was under a supercritical state and was mutually dissolved with the drilling fluid before reaching the wellhead. Moreover, high $H_2S$ content results in less free gas in the drilling fluid and smaller volume expansion.

**Fig. 8.** Change Curves of mud pit Increment with Overflow Time

### 4.6 Change of shut-in casing pressure during overflow process of high $H_2S$ content gas well

Fig. 9 shows the change curves of the shut-in casing pressure with overflow time under different $H_2S$ contents. As the overflow progressed, the shut-in casing pressure gradually increased. Consequently, the high $H_2S$ content results in low shut-in casing pressure because more gases entered the wellbore as the overflow continued. Thus, the hydrostatic fluid column pressure loss in the annuli would increase. The gas volume in the annuli would decrease because of the solubility of the $H_2S$ gas in water. Meanwhile, the density of the gas that contains $H_2S$ was high, thus the annular hydrostatic column pressure increases compared to the gas without $H_2S$.

**Fig. 9.** Change Curves of Shut-in Casing Pressure with Overflow Time

### 4.7 Change of bottom hole pressure under different gas-invaded velocities

Fig. 10 shows the comparison curves of the change of bottom hole pressure with time under different gas-invaded flow quantities. The gas-invaded flow quantity reflected the gas-invasion severity. It affects the bottom hole pressure significantly. Meanwhile, high gas-invaded quantity results in fast bottom hole pressure drop. More formation fluids flowed into the wellbore with the bottom hole pressure drop, resulting in a continuous bottom hole pressure drop, which is repeated.
4.8 Change of bottom hole pressure under different backpressures

Fig. 11 shows the comparison curves of the change of bottom hole pressure with time under different backpressures. High control backpressure results in slow bottom hole pressure drop. Hence, this influence rule could be sufficiently used to regulate wellhead backpressure through the kill technology of a well to control wellbore pressure.

5. Conclusions

A multiphase calculation model for a wellbore after the invasion of acid natural gas under high-temperature and high-pressure conditions was established in this study based on the changes of the acid natural gas solubility in the water-based drilling fluid, and gas–liquid phase change of acid natural gas for the accurate prediction of multiphase flow regularity after the invasion of acid gas in the wellbore during an unbalanced drilling process. Subsequently, this model was used for the simulation analysis of the change rules of parameters, such as gas solubility in the water-based drilling fluid, annular gas phase volume fraction, mud pit increment, and bottom hole pressure drop during the acid gas cut period under high-temperature and high-pressure conditions. The following conclusions were drawn:

1) The solubility of H₂S in the wellbore changed gently with the lower part of the well, and its solubility rapidly decreased near the wellhead. Meanwhile, the density of H₂S sharply decreased near the wellhead, and the high H₂S content resulted in a significant change in the amplitude of density.

2) A high H₂S content during the gas invasion process results in a high H₂S content within the same overflow time, low bottom hole pressure drop, and shut-in casing pressure. When the backpressure at the wellhead increases, the bottom hole pressure correspondingly increases.

3) Under the same gas-invaded amount, a high H₂S content results in a small gaseous content during gas transportation toward the wellbore, high expansion times when the gas reach the wellhead, and increased mud pit increment. Under different gas-invaded velocities, a high gas-invaded velocity results in a low bottom hole pressure.

The established multiphase flow model could truly reflect the gas–liquid two-phase flow regularity inside the wellbore under high-temperature and high-pressure conditions through a comprehensive consideration on the influences of factors, such as phase change and solubility on the multiphase flow of a wellbore, thus providing the necessary theoretical basis for wellbore pressure control and overflow monitoring. The chemical reactions of acid natural gas and the water-based drilling fluid can be combined with this model in future study because the chemical actions of several components in the H₂S and drilling fluid were not considered. Moreover, appropriate correction can be made for a more accurate understanding of the multiphase flow regularity of a wellbore under the acid gas-invaded condition.
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